

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,688	07/21/2003	Scan Keith Chariker	64243.000016	5325
J. Michael Martinez de Andino, Esq. HUNTON & WILLIAMS Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, VA 23219-4074			EXAMINER	
			FRIDIE JR, WILLMON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/623,688	. CHARIKER, SEAN KEITH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Willmon Fridie	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a, cause the application to become A	ICATION.  a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ja	anuary 2007.					
_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-13 and 1619 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmont/c)						
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/623,688

Art Unit: 3722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10,12,13 and16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramsburg.

Ramsburg et al. discloses a foldable data card assembly comprising: a sheet having an upper and lower surface a base portion (64) having spaced apart first and second lateral sides and spaced apart top and bottom base edges; a first lateral portion (65) extending laterally away from the first lateral side of the base portion; a second lateral portion (63) extending laterally away from the second lateral side of the base portion, a first line of weakness formed in the base portion and the first lateral portion, the first line of weakness (18) being substantially parallel to the top base edge; a second line of weakness (18) formed in the base portion and the first lateral portion, the second line of weakness being substantially parallel to the bottom base edge; and an adhesive (31) disposed on at least a portion of an area of the upper surface of the base portion intermediate the first line of weakness and the top base edge and on at least a portion of I an area of the upper surface of the base portion intermediate the second line of weakness and the bottom base edge, wherein the second lateral portion is foldable along a first fold line(61) to a stowed position wherein the second lateral portion overlies

Art Unit: 3722

at least a portion of the upper surface of the base portion and wherein the first lateral portion is foldable along a second fold (62) line to a covering position wherein the first lateral portion overlies at least a portion of the second lateral position when the second lateral portion is in its stowed position. Ramsburg et al. further discloses indicia (25,26) and an aperture (19).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramsburg et al. in view of Stimson et al.

Ramsburg et al. discloses substantially all of the subject matter set forth in the claims except for indicia coated with a removable scratch-off coating, which conceals the

Art Unit: 3722

indicia from view. Stimson et al. discloses and teaches that it is well known in the art to use a scratch off coating to conceal indicia (see column 3, lines 44-52). It would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time of the invention was made to provide the card of Ramsburg et al. with the a scratch off coating which conceals the indicia from view in the manner as taught by Stimson et al. in order to add another level of security to the document.

# Response to Arguments

Once again in response to applicant's past arguments that the cited reference to Ramsburg does not disclose the particular folded arrangement as claimed by applicant. However the examiner submits that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Further, the examiner submits that applicant's other arguments are addressed adequately in the above rejections.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272 4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571 272 4475. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3722

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

wf

WILMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER